# CONTROL OF O2 LEVELS IN A DIVER'S REBREATHER

# How does it work and how can it be verified?

By Dan E. Warkander, MSEE, Ph.D

## Background

One of the advantages of a diver's rebreather is that the gas consumption is much smaller than open circuit diving. In fact, the gas consumption mostly depends on the  $O_2$  consumption of the diver, which depends on the diver's workload. There are several methods in use for controlling the  $O_2$  level. The difficulty is to a find solution that is relatively simple and that maintains acceptable levels of  $O_2$  even when depths and diver workloads change. Once a design has been completed it has to be tested to see how well it works.

# Ways to control the O2 levels in rebreathers

There are several rebreather designs in use for controlling the O<sub>2</sub> levels delivered in the inspired gas:

- Fully mechanical 100% O2 rebreathers The simplest rebreather is one that has an O<sub>2</sub> bottle, a breathing bag, a CO<sub>2</sub> scrubber, and a mouthpiece with hoses. The addition of O<sub>2</sub> can be made manually and/or by an O<sub>2</sub> add valve that is mechanically activated when the breathing bag gets small and pushes on it. A drawback is that with 100% O<sub>2</sub> the depth range is limited to 6 msw.
- Semi-closed rebreather with a constant flow of fresh gas For a larger depth range, another fairly simple way to control the O<sub>2</sub> levels is to have a constant flow of a gas containing O<sub>2</sub>, and nitrogen and/or helium. The diver will consume some of the O<sub>2</sub> and the remaining gas will bubble out into the water through a valve, hence the name semi-closed rebreather. This design may mean very few parts. To control the resulting O<sub>2</sub> level in the breathing gas, the designer has to find a compromise between O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the supply gas, its flow rate, maximum depth and endurance time. The often varying O<sub>2</sub> levels might make the choice of decompression table difficult. The constant flow means that the endurance time can be well known, essentially determined by a stopwatch.
- Rebreathers where the gas addition is controlled by the diver's breathing A more sophisticated way to control the O<sub>2</sub> levels is to determine how hard a diver is breathing. Instead of breathing to and from a bag, the diver breathes to a bellows which is linked to a mechanical or electronic counting mechanism or equivalent device. When the bellows has moved sufficiently (i.e. one breath or several breaths), the rebreather injects a known volume of fresh gas to replenish the O<sub>2</sub>. The designer has to choose the volume of gas to inject and its O<sub>2</sub> concentration for a given range of depths. A difficulty is that the O<sub>2</sub> consumption is not always well correlated with the volume breathed. The ratio of the volume breathed to the volume of oxygen consumed may vary from some 35 at rest to below 20 during exercise at depth. It also varies somewhat between individuals. Compared to semi-closed rebreathers with constant flow this type of rebreather tends to give tighter control of the resulting O<sub>2</sub> concentration which makes the choice of decompression tables easier. The endurance is determined by the diver's actual gas consumption, not by a stop watch.

- Electronically controlled rebreathers Electronically controlled rebreathers represent a further sophistication of rebreathers and they also provide the tightest control of the O<sub>2</sub> level. Typically, they control the partial pressure of O<sub>2</sub> (pO<sub>2</sub>), but in principle they can control the concentration instead. These rebreathers determine the current pO<sub>2</sub> and add O<sub>2</sub> as necessary. Some can also change the desired pO<sub>2</sub> (the setpoint) depending on depth, automatically or with diver input. The endurance time depends mostly on the oxygen consumption of the diver (i.e. how hard the diver works). A bottle of gas containing O<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub> and/or He (diluent gas) is used to add gas to the breathing loop during descent.
- A hybrid of manual control guided by O2 sensors Some rebreather divers prefer to be in control by adding O<sub>2</sub> themselves. They can do this based on guidance from O<sub>2</sub> sensors. The risk is that the diver gets distracted and forgets to add O<sub>2</sub>. To reduce this risk it is possible to have a constant flow of O<sub>2</sub> that is enough to sustain life at resting levels. The European rebreather standard EN 14143 (1) allows such devices and the minimum flow of O<sub>2</sub> has to be 0.5 L/min.

#### Ways to sense O<sub>2</sub>

There are several principles that can be used to sense  $O_2$  levels in a rebreather. They have to withstand the widely varying conditions (such as water, high humidity, varying temperatures, changing gas density). They also have to respond fairly quickly to changes in  $O_2$  levels, be linear and be mechanically robust.

Fuel cells

Flourescence type sensors

Nernstian type sensors

## Ways to verify the level of inspired O2

To test the  $O_2$  add system it is necessary to use the most sophisticated type of breathing simulator called a metabolic simulator. The simulator has to breathe like a human, i.e. the right sized breaths (tidal volume) at the right breathing frequency. It also has to exhale warm and humidified gas while consuming  $O_2$  and producing  $CO_2$ . Figure 1 illustrates such a simulator. The cylinder and piston on the right act as the lungs of the diver. During an exhalation, the gas passes through the heating and humidification system to the rebreather on the left. During an inhalation, gas passes by a system that removes the correct amount of  $O_2$  for the minute ventilation (tidal volume \* breathing frequency). A flow of  $CO_2$  is added in proportion to the minute ventilation.

During tests, the rebreather will be immersed in water kept at the desired temperature and placed in a hyperbaric chamber pressurized to the desired depth. This type of testing is far beyond the capabilities of a diver and even most rebreather manufacturers. Manufacturers will usually have these tests done at one of the very few facilities in the world that have this capability.



Figure 1. A schematic drawing of a breathing simulator used to determine the O2 levels in a rebreather. Arrows indicate the direction of gas. See text for details. For clarity, valves directing the flow are not shown, nor are the water bath and the hyperbaric chamber.

When a manufacturer of an electronically controlled rebreather does early test of a new design there are two types of tests they can do: without CO<sub>2</sub> addition or with CO<sub>2</sub> addition. Each type of test has advantages and disadvantages, but running separate tests can allow the manufacturer to determine different aspects of the rebreather's function.

In tests without  $CO_2$  addition the rebreather will not see the effects of increased temperatures and humidity from the active scrubber. This way it is possible to just determine how well the electronics or computer software work in controlling the addition of  $O_2$  and how well the added  $O_2$  mixes with the gas in the rebreather.

In tests with  $CO_2$  addition the heat and humidity generated by the scrubber will be seen by the  $O_2$  sensors. The changes in sensor output due to any temperature changes will be tested. Also, some of the humidity will condense and may collect on the opening of the  $O_2$  sensors. If enough condensation collects on a sensor it will lose contact with the gas and it will not give the correct readings.

All tests done for certification purposes must be with CO<sub>2</sub> addition so that the rebreather is tested in a way that the diver would breathe on it.

#### Common test results from electronically controlled rebreathers.

Figure 2 shows a common pattern of the variations in the  $pO_2$  during use. The lower  $pO_2$  line (white) has a saw-toothed pattern. The diver consumes  $O_2$  which lowers the  $pO_2$ . When the  $pO_2$  reaches a threshold the rebreather's control system opens a valve which adds  $O_2$  to the breathing gas, raising the  $pO_2$  again.

The rebreather is capable of adding  $O_2$  faster than the diver consumes it, so the upward slope is steeper than the downward slope. In this example the average  $pO_2$  is 0.75 bar. The variation in  $pO_2$  is 0.05 bar (i.e.  $\pm 0.025$ ).

The upper line (blue) shows the  $pO_2$  in a rebreather that has a higher set point. In this case the average is 1.3 bar, with a variation of 0.1 bar.



Figure 2. An illustration of commonly seen variations in pO2 in the inspired gas plotted against time for two setpoints of pO2.

Depending on the response time of the  $O_2$  sensors,  $O_2$  injection point, flow rate of the  $O_2$  injection, the mixing of the gas, actions of the control system and other factors. In commercial rebreathers, the variation in pO<sub>2</sub> will range from being almost imperceptible to quite large. The EN 14143 (1) states that the pO<sub>2</sub> may vary ±0.1 bar. NOAA (2) accepts ±0.05 atm.

#### pO2 overshoot at a constant depth



Figure 3. An illustration of an overshoot in pO2 in the inspired gas.

## Rapid descent pO2 undershoots

## O2 sensor failure modes

There are several ways that sensors can stop working correctly. Some are listed here.

All galvanic (fuel cell) type  $O_2$  sensors have a limited life span. They may last anywhere from a few months to a couple of years. Based on empirical testing (3) they can fail in more than one way: total failure (no signal at all), a gradual change in voltage, or an inability to generate the correct reading at a high p $O_2$ . The first mode is easy to detect, the other two are the hardest for a diver to detect. Sometimes a sensor will read the expected voltage in room air and with 100%  $O_2$  at 1 atmosphere. However, it may not be able to generate the right (linear) change at 1.3 or 1.5 bar. Unless the sensor has been tested before the dive at such pressures it is a risk that the diver takes.

• Lack of linearity - The effect of a sensor's inability to produce the correct voltage at a high pO<sub>2</sub> is illustrated in Figure 4. The straight, interrupted line represents what a sensor should produce. The red line shows the output of a sensor with the problem. This sensor would calibrate perfectly at 1 bar and allow pO<sub>2</sub> control well up to about 1.3 bars. However, at higher pO<sub>2</sub> the voltage is not as high as it should be. This can be seen when the red line deviates from the white one. At a pO<sub>2</sub> of 1.5 bars the voltage is 2.4 mV low (equivalent to 0.045 bars). As mentioned above, the rebreather would add more O<sub>2</sub> until it reads the voltage it is set for (if the setpoint were that high). The actual pO<sub>2</sub> would be 1.545 bar, while the display reads 1.5 bar. Should this sensor be in a rebreather that sees an overshoot as high as 2 bars the pO<sub>2</sub> display would only read 1.7 bars. A sensor with this kind of lack of linearity will have an actual pO<sub>2</sub> that is higher than what the pO<sub>2</sub> display shows.



Figure 4. An illustration of an overshoot in pO2 in the inspired gas.

Tests of one type of (U.S. Navy approved)  $O_2$  sensor showed the presented failure modes and additional kinds of failure modes (3).

- Decompression The report's (3) Figures 1 and 2 show effects of decompression. Some sensors showed rapidly changing values towards the end of the decompression or a sudden jump in the output signal. They read normal values after some minutes or hours, but would fail shortly thereafter.
- Temperature As mentioned above, the temperature of the sensor may influence its reading because the built-in temperature compensation may not be perfect. Figure 3 in report (3) shows the effects of temperature for this particular sensor model. Some sensors increased their signal while others decreased theirs as temperature changed. Tests of another manufacturer's sensors have shown theirs to all change the same way with temperature, e.g. the signal increased with increased temperature. To have the least influence of temperature on the combined output from several sensors, it is better to have the changes shown in Figure 3 (1).

Based on actual measurements, the temperature of the gas leaving the  $CO_2$  scrubber will be the temperature of the absorbent until some 10 to 40 min into the dive. At that point the gas temperature starts to rise. The actual time depends on how hard the diver works and the size of the scrubber. The scrubber will commonly raise the gas temperature by 30 to 40 °C. Depending on the water temperature, the temperature of the gas leaving the scrubber will vary from close to 0 °C up to 50 °C, or even 60 °C. In short, the gas temperature at the  $O_2$  sensors will depend on how far from the scrubber they are, on water temperature and the length of the dive. It should be apparent that the temperature sensitivity of an  $O_2$  sensor can be critical. Some sensor

manufacturers provide specifications for the range of temperatures they are designed for. In addition they may state how much their output might change with temperature. However, some simply state "compensated" or provide no specification at all.

A gradual error in one sensor (due to temperature changes around the sensor) during a dive is illustrated in Figure 5. In this example it is assumed that the rebreather has three sensors and that it can identify and remove one outlying sensor from its control logic. Further, it is assumed that the output signal for one (shown as a white line) increases with temperature and that the other two are not affected. At the beginning, all three sensors track each other well. At point A the warm gas from the scrubber reaches the sensors and their temperatures start to rise. The temperature climbs until point B. The white's readings increase and then level off. Its readings change the same way as the other two's, but it stays higher. After a dive and when the sensors have cooled off they will all read the same.



A way to detect such sensors is to monitor the  $pO_2$  readings from the sensors during the dive and/or afterwards if the rebreather can log the readings.

Figure 5. An illustration of a gradual drift in the  $pO_2$  readings in one sensor, the readings from the other two did not change. See text for details.

Other effects - At least one rebreather design has had the O<sub>2</sub> sensors located such that each sensing surface was exposed to the warm gas leaving the scrubber while the opposite side of the sensor was at essentially water temperature. The temperature difference across each sensor can be up to 40 °C. It would be very critical that the temperature sensor inside the O<sub>2</sub> sensor be placed in the correct place for the sensor to have a chance to work right. Ideally, the entire sensor should be at the same temperature.

## Summary

There are many types of rebreather designs, from very basic to very sophisticated ones. For all but the 100%  $O_2$  rebreather, the p $O_2$  delivered in the diver's inhaled gas must be measured to verify that it stays within desired levels. This includes tests at different depths, different water temperatures and different diver workloads.

## Bibliography

1: "Respiratory Equipment – Self-contained re-breathing diving apparatus", Brussels (Belgium): European Committee for Standardization; 2013, EN14143:2013 (E).

2: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration minimum manufacturing and performance requirements for closed circuit mixed gas rebreathers, 2005. Downloaded from <a href="http://www.omao.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/documents">http://www.omao.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/documents</a> on 10 Jan 2017 (file NOAA\_CCR\_Standards\_Final\_2005.pdf).

3: Warkander D.E. Unmanned Test and Evaluation of the Teledyne Analytical Instruments R-10DN Oxygen Sensor for Use in the MK 16 Mod 1 Underwater Breathing Apparatus. U.S. Navy Experimental Diving Unit, Technical Report TR 03-08, 2003. Downloaded from <u>www.rubicon-foundation.org</u> on 28 Nov 2016 (file ADA448759.pdf).

## Written by Dan Warkander

Dan Warkander is an Engineer & Respiratory Physiologist who has worked with divers and their breathing equipment for over 30 years: air dives to 57 msw (190 fsw), Heliox dives to 450 msw (1500 fsw) and hydrogen-oxygen (hydrox) dives at 120 msw (400 fsw). He has led over 1,000 experimental dives; found and implemented breathing resistance limits for diving and dry-land use; developed a simple to use CO2 scrubber gauge.